IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 10331 OF 2004
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.5267 OF 2005

Promoters and Builders Association of Nashik ...

Petitioners

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Aspi Chinoy, Senior Counsel , with Mr. G.S Godbole
for the petitioners

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande,
Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10137 OF 2004

Inflation Marketing Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Y.S. Jahagirdar, Senior Counsel, with Mr. G.S Godbole
for the petitioners

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande,
Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10249 OF 2004

Mehta Insulators Pvt. Ltd. ...Petitioner

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Aspi Chinoy, Senior Counsel, with Mr. G.S Godbole
for the petitioners

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande,
Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10281 OF 2004

Bhikubhai Land Developers & Builders Pvt. Ltd. ...Petitioner

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Aspi Chinoy, Senior Counsel, with Mr. G.S Godbole
for the petitioners
Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande,
Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10449 OF 2004

Lalit Enterprises ...Petitioner

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Aspi Chinoy, Senior Counsel, with Mr. G.S Godbole
for the petitioners

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande,
Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10450 OF 2004

Viraj Estate Private Limited ...Petitioner

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. R.C. Shah instructed by M/s. Ram & Co. for the petitioner

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande,
Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10451 OF 2004

Hotel Kutir Nirman Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Sunil Karandikar for the petitioners

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande,

Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10690 OF 2004

Narendra Kundanlal Verma & Anr. ...Petitioners

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Uday Lalit with Mr. Nitin Mulye for the petitioners

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande, Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10691 OF 2004

S.S. Jadhav Builders Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ...

Petitioners

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. N.V. Walawalkar with Mr. Nitin Mulye for the petitioners

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande, Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 10692 OF 2004

D.L. Bhangare Pvt. Ltd. ...Petitioner

Versus

The Tahasildar, Nashik, and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. N.V. Walawalkar with Mr. Nitin Mulye for the petitioner

Mr. Rafiq A. Dada, Senior Counsel, with Mr. N.P. Deshpande, Assistant Government Pleader, for the Respondents.

CORAM: DALVEER BHANDARI, C.J., &
S.A. BOBDE, J.
DATE: MARCH 1, 2005.

P.C.

1. Mr. Chinoy, the learned senior counsel, appearing for the petitioners in Writ Petition Nos. 10331, 10249, 10281 and 10449 of 2004, agrees to move applications under Section 225 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966. Mr. Rafiq Dada, the learned Senior Counsel, appearing for the respondents, states that if the applications are filed within a week, then, the same shall be decided by a reasoned order within two weeks thereafter.

2. We hope and trust that the Chief Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra will decide the applications, after hearing the parties, by

a reasoned order.

3. Mr. Chinoy submits that until the next date of hearing, the lands in question will not be transferred. In view of this statement, the *status quo* granted by this Court on 29th December, 2004 shall continue for another two weeks after the orders are passed.

4. Mr. Chinoy submits that the petitioners shall be represented by one representative, who will make submissions before the Chief Secretary. The Chief Secretary shall regulate the hearing.

5. In view of the above, all these petitions are disposed of. Consequently, the Civil Application also stands disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

S.A. BOBDE, J.